

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/864,442	05/25/2001	Kelly Gravelle	111039.00217	2652	
27557	7590 09/21/2004		EXAMINER .		
BLANK ROME LLP			CUFF, MICHAEL A		
600 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20037		V.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3627		
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	~				
	09/864,442	GRAVELLE ET AL.	7				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael Cuff	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M	lay 2001.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	eu.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (F 10*102)					

Application/Control Number: 09/864,442

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-8, 15-17, and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Elliott.

Elliott shows, figure 5, an RF tag (wireless ID tag) based system and method for drive-through applications. The system can interact with multiple vendors with transponders 110A and 110B (readers) and databases maintained by the food vendor (not shown, local computer, see column 5, lines 24-40). The validation and payment information can also be remote. Tag-vendor 324, may in some instances, communicate with a credit card issuer 326 to verify a valid card account and bill the amount of the purchase. Communication to credit card issuer 326 can be accomplished using

Application/Control Number: 09/864,442

Art Unit: 3627

landlines 328 or via other communication means such as V-sat, cellular, fiber optic, or the like (not shown). If tag-vendor 324 performs the billing for a customer's order, then food vendor 318 must communicate the purchase amount along with the RF tag identification information. Otherwise, food vendor 318 communicates the purchase amount directly to credit card issuer 326 using known methods (not shown).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-14 and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elliott.

Elliott shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying bar-codes, magnetic strips, PINs, key fobs, pre-paid accounts and over draft protection (secondary account)

The examiner takes Official Notice that the use of bar-codes, magnetic strips,

PINs, key fobs, pre-paid accounts and over draft protection in order to provide customer service is old and well known in the credit card/debit card field.

Based on the discussion above, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the Elliott system to specify the use of bar-codes, magnetic strips, PINs, key fobs, pre-paid accounts and

Application/Control Number: 09/864,442 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

over draft protection in order to provide customer service is old and well known in the credit card/debit card field.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Warther shows a credit card using magnetic strips, bar-codes, and key fobs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (703) 308-0610. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Cuff

September 15, 2004

laft 9/15/04